



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,395	02/25/2002	Claude Andre	408.106A	6873
20311	7590	05/12/2006		
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			EXAMINER WARE, DEBORAH K	
			ART UNIT 1651	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/084,395

Applicant(s)

ANDRE ET AL.

Examiner

Deborah K. Ware

Art Unit

1651

**All Participants:**(1) Deborah K. Ware.(2) Larry Manber.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 May 2006**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Not discussed per se*

Claims discussed:

*Proposed claimed subject matter*


Prior art documents discussed:

*Not discussed per se***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DEBORAH K. WARE  
PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Applicants' Representative that the after final amendment filed 5/2/06 has been received and that the claims as proposed will be reconsidered on the merits and an updated search will be conducted to determine whether the claim language as newly presented is free of the prior art, and in accordance with the prior official discussion with the Representative, myself and the Primary, allowable subject matter may be presented after final. However, more searching is needed to determine whether the claims as presented are free of the cited prior art. Applicants' Representative did point out that the claims have been changed or simplified so that they do not read on an aqueous form and that they did not include the term dry or dried because there is some humidity present with the described disclosure.